

ARMY PENSIONS ACT, 1932.

REPORT BY THE ARMY PENSIONS BOARD ON AN APPLICATION FOR AN ALLOWANCE OR GRATUITY UNDER SECTION 12 OF THE ARMY PENSIONS ACT, 1932, IN RESPECT OF A PERSON (OTHER THAN A PERSON KILLED WHILE ENGAGED IN MILITARY SERVICE).

Ref. No.....

Ref. No. *57 APB/494*

To the Army Pensions Board.

To the Minister for Defence.

I am directed by the Minister for Defence to transmit the accompanying application for investigation and report in accordance with the Army Pensions Board (Investigation of Applications under Part II of the Army Pensions Act, 1932) Regulations, 1933. The relevant service certificate is also sent herewith. The report should take the form of replies to the questions set out herewith.

The following members of the Army Pensions Board attended :—

Mr Michael Murray

D. J. O'Blain

Capt. A. Maden

P. O'neill

The report of the Board is as follows :—

Date *30 April '35*

(Where the findings of the Board are not unanimous, separate reports signed by the individual members of the Board should be furnished.)

Application of *John + Bridget Murphy*

Signed *M. Mac Meara*
Chairman of the Board.

Ballylanders, Co. Kinnick.

in respect of the death of *their son*

Date *20th August 1935*

Thomas Murphy.

1. What was the cause of the death of the deceased ?

2. From what disablement did he suffer ?

3. (In case it appears from the service certificate that the deceased received a wound or injury while engaged in military service) was the death of the deceased due to the wound stated in the service certificate issued in respect of the deceased to have been received by him while engaged in military service ?

4. (In case it appears from the service certificate that the deceased contracted a disease during his military service) was the death of the deceased due to the disease stated in service certificate issued in respect of the deceased to have been contracted by him during his military service ?

*First wound left side
received during active military
service in 1914 he received
(IRA)*

Yes

NS

5. Were any of the disabilities under 2 due to any serious negligence or misconduct on the part of the deceased person?

he

6. Were there any special circumstances connected with this case which the Board consider should be taken into consideration by the Minister?

he

7. What was the nature of the dependency of the applicant?

he

8. Were there any persons other than the applicant dependant on the deceased? If so, state names and addresses and nature of dependency.

he

The Board having considered this case found that the applicants were partially dependent on the deceased, but being repudiated by the fact that they had already received £400 compensation on the recommendation of the Inspector (P.S.) therefore the Board considered that no further award be made

Military Service
Pensions Collection